IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR(CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION NO. 923 OF 2001

UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA C.F.100=00

PETITIONER : President Nagar Palika Parishad, Bhatapara, Smt. ~~Asha~~ Pramita Abui Pandey, w/o Ashok Pandey, aged 33 years, r/o Bhatapara District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

No. 923/2001 Signed by Shri A.K. Bajpai dated 28.3.2001

versus

RESPONDENT : Chief Municipal Officer, Nagar Palika Parishad, Bhatapara, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

NOTARY R. M. DUBEY

XI-HC-22

(20)

Writ Petition
Cl. 226 of Constitution of India
Other than Service matter

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

Single Bench

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No 923/2001 सन 200

President Nagar Vs Chief Municipal Officer, Patika Parishad विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
1.6.01	Shri Alok Bakshi learned counsel for the petitioner. Heard. By this petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner seeks to impugn the order passed by the C.M.O. of the Municipal Council directing requisition of the meeting. According to the petitioner the respondent C.M.O. had no authority or right or even jurisdiction to requisition the meeting. Therefore, the date fixed by him is illegal. Shri Bakshi, however, submits that the petitioner never wanted to delay the matter because the issues raised by the Corporators in their representation were of general importance.	

आदेश पत्रक (पुर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	According to Shri Bakshi the petitioner is aggrieved by the conduct of the C.M.O. who acted in a manner which is not known to the law and tantamounts to insubordination. He, however, submits that if the date fixed by the C.M.O. is deemed to be a date fixed by the Petitioner/President, then the lost honour of the President would be restored. Without entering into any contr-oversy, it is hereby directed that the date already fixed for convening the meeting shall be deemed to be a date fixed by the petitioner. At this stage, Shri Bakshi submits that on the date already fixed by the C.M.O. no meeting was conducted,therefore, the petitioner be permitted to fix the date. It is hereby directed that the petitioner in consultation with the C.M.O. within three days from today shall fix a date for conduction of the meeting. C.C. as per rules. Nair/*	Sd/- R.S. Garg Judge

GRPRJ—FS/311—1/2001—50,000.